

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/068,556	KODAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Laura Wanek.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

claim 8

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Exr indicated that upon review of the prior art, claim 8 was not allowable as previously indicated. The Exr noted that the prior art teaches the use of adhesion improving underlayers it would have been obvious to add such a layer to the structure taught by Takahashi et al. It was noted that all other claims were in condition for allowance. Ms. Wanek agreed to the cancellation of claim 8 in order to put the case in condition for allowance.



HOLLY RICKMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER